

Created / last modified	CTRY	VARIABLE	PROBLEM IN UDB VERSION N°	PROBLEM SOLVED FROM UDB VERSION N°	COMMENT
23/05/2011	ALL	HY010 HY020 HY022 HY023	2009-1 from 01-08-11	no change expected	<b>Years 2006, 2008, 2009: Those variables do not include PY080 although published indicators were calculated with PY080</b> <b>Year 2007: Those variables include PY080 (except for MT, ES, SI, IE)</b>
25/05/2011	AT	HH061	2009-1 from 01-08-11	no change expected	The comparatively large number of missing values in 2009 for subjective rent for flat owners is due to a filter problem in the questionnaire which left out some of the cases. We have already fixed the problem during the field period but cannot impute the cases.
25/05/2011	NO	HS011-HS021- HS031	2009-1 from 01-08-11	no change expected	HS011-HS021-HS031 was included in the 2008 questionnaire through the 2008-module. It was by accident not included in the regular questionnaire used in 2009. This was unfortunately not discovered in time for the 2010 interviews, but is included as of the 2011 questionnaire. This is unfortunate, but for the years 2009 and 2010 we have to use HS010-HS020-HS030.
09/06/2011	SE	RB064	2009-1 from 01-08-11		In <b>rotational group 6</b> , members with RB110=6 (moved out) should have a zero weight.
09/06/2011	GR	RB064	2009-1 from 01-08-11		Missing
09/06/2011	DK	RB063	2009-1 from 01-08-11		Newly born (members with RB110=4) should receive a zero weight in <b>2009 for rotational group 4</b>
09/06/2011	DK	PB050-PB080	2009-1 from 01-08-11		The attribution of RB100 = 1 or 2, sample person or co-resident, does not seem to be consistent with the differences between PB050 and PB080
09/06/2011	LV	RB060	2009-1 from 01-08-11		All members with RB110=6 (moved out) should receive a zero weight. On the other hand, members with RB110=2 (moved from another sample hh) would generally have a non zero weight
09/06/2011	LV	RB062	2009-1 from 01-08-11		All members with RB110 = 3,4,5,6,7 should receive a zero weight. On the other hand, members with RB110=2 (moved from another sample hh) would generally have a non zero weight
09/06/2011	LV	RB063	2009-1 from 01-08-11		All members with RB110 = 3,4,5,6,7 should receive a zero weight. On the other hand, members with RB110=2 (moved from another sample hh) would generally have a non zero weight
09/06/2011	LV	PB050	2009-1 from 01-08-11		Members with RB110=3 receive a zero weight, except if there were not living in the country at the time of the sample selection. On the other hand, members with RB110=2 (moved from another sample hh) would generally have a non zero weight
10/06/2011	RO	DB090	2009-1 from 01-08-11	change expected	For rotational group 1, survey year 2008, there are no households with a zero weight. Could you check this? Please remember that the households non contacted in first wave should also be included in the D-file. They should appear with a weight DB090 = 0. For the survey year, all the weight values of DB090 are 0

Created / last modified	CTRY	VARIABLE	PROBLEM IN UDB VERSION N°	PROBLEM SOLVED FROM UDB VERSION N°	COMMENT
10/06/2011	RO	RB060	2009-1 from 01-08-11	change expected	<p>This weight should be calibrated each rotational group independently. The sum of this weight FOR EACH ROTATIONAL GROUP for each year should match the total longitudinal population of your country (ie 21 000 000). So, the sum of this weight all the three rotational groups together should be close to 63 000 000.</p> <p>Members with RB110=4 (newly born) should receive the weight of their mother.</p> <p>Members with RB110 = 5, 6 or 7 (moved out, died or not in register) should have a zero weight.</p> <p>Members with RB110=3 (moved into from outside sample) should have (in the majority of the cases) a zero weight. If you can distinguish for people with RB110=3 between "immigrants" and "co-residents", then it is good to give the average weights of existing household members to "immigrants" while giving a zero weight to "co-residents". The problem is that as countries are not forced to collect information to distinguish between these two cases, we recommended to give a zero weight to all people with RB110=3, as "co-residents" are more numerous than "immigrants" and as this "immigrants" population is in most</p>
10/06/2011	RO	RB062	2009-1 from 01-08-11	change expected	<p>This weight should be filed only for the last survey year (in this case 2009). For all previous years, please put no value with flag -2.</p> <p>For the survey year 2009, members with RB110 = 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 (moved into from outside sample, newly born, moved out, died or not in register) should have a zero weight (with flag 1). People with RB110=3 and new born (RB110=4) should also have a zero weight because all these persons are not present in the 2 last years but only in the last one.</p>
10/06/2011	RO	RB063	2009-1 from 01-08-11	change expected	<p>For the survey year 2009, for rotational groups 3 and 4, members with RB110 = 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 (moved into from outside sample, newly born, moved out, died or not in register) should have a zero weight (with flag 1).</p>
10/06/2011	RO	PB050	2009-1 from 01-08-11	change expected	(For the survey year 2009, for rotational group 1, no value with flag -2 as it is now.)
10/06/2011	RO	ALL	2009-1 from 01-08-11		For the survey year, all the weight values of PB050 are 0
					No data included (problems with weights)