

An alternative methodology for estimation of cotton yield using double sampling approach

UC Sud | Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute | Pusa, New Delhi-110012
T. Ahmad | Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute | Pusa, New Delhi-110012
A. Rai | Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute | Pusa, New Delhi-110012
P. Mishra Sahoo | Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute | Pusa, New Delhi-110012
DOI: 10.1481/icasVII.2016.b07b

ABSTRACT

Cotton, a multiple picking crop, is grown in nine states in India. The existing procedure of estimation of average yield of cotton is based on crop cutting experiment approach, which utilizes data on all pickings, is cumbersome and cost prohibitive. The double sampling approach can be gainfully employed in this case by collecting data on picking which has highest correlation with the total picking yield on a larger sample and the total picking yield data on a smaller sample. Accordingly, a stratified twostage two phase sampling design has been proposed for selection of representative sample and an appropriate estimation procedure, based on double sampling regression estimator, has been developed for estimation of average yield of cotton at district level. Utilizing the data of survey conducted in the Aurangabad and Amravati district of Maharashtra State and Adilabad and Guntur district of Andhra Pradesh wherein third picking data is collected on a larger sample and total picking yield data on a smaller sample, expression for optimum number of villages for larger and smaller sample have been obtained by minimizing cost subject to fixed percentage standard error of the estimates. The results show that the average yield of cotton can be estimated with at most 5% standard error, if 137 preliminary sample villages are selected for third picking and 48 sub-sample villages are selected for the remaining pickings from each selected district while the corresponding sample size for an estimator which make use of entire picking data is 51 villages. Recommendations have been made with regard to which picking data can be used as auxiliary variable, in the double sampling regression estimator, for 9 cotton growing states in India.

PAPER

Cotton is an important fibre yielding crop of global importance. It is also known as the "White Gold" or the "King of Fibres". It is grown, world over, in tropical and subtropical regions of more than 80 countries. It is an internationally sensitive commodity. Occupying an area of around 35.72 million ha, cotton is cultivated mainly in U.S.A. (11%), China (15%) and India (34%). Current estimates of world production are about 27.25 million tonnes annually, accounting for around 2.5% of the world's arable land. China is the world's largest producer of cotton, but most of this is used domestically. India occupies highest area under cotton (12.18mha) and is the second largest country, after China, as far as production is concerned (35.20 mt). In India, the States of Gujarat (34.09%) Maharashtra (20.45%), and Andhra Pradesh (13.92%) are the leading cotton producing states having a predominantly tropical wet and dry climate.

The yield estimation of cotton in India is carried out using crop cutting experiment technique. A limitation of the crop cutting experiment technique is that it requires observation on yield data of all the pickings of sampled fields. In case, data of one or two pickings of some of the selected fields are not available, not only precision of the yield estimate will suffer but estimate of average yield will be biased. Moreover, it is very difficult to capture data for all the pickings (up to 8 pickings in some states) creating practical difficulties in implementation of the procedure. Practical feasibility of crop cutting experiment technique for multiple picking crops like cotton is a matter of concern in India. Another important consideration is cost aspect of crop yield estimation survey. The cost on conduct of crop cutting experiment involving multiple picking crops increases manifold when data are to be collected on all the pickings. Therefore, there is a need to develop an alternative technique which is easier to implement as well as capable of providing unbiased estimates of average yield of cotton with desired precision.

2. Existing procedure for estimation of average yield of Cotton

The existing estimation procedure followed, under the General Crop Estimation Surveys (GCES), for estimation of average yield of Cotton is as under:

At the stratum level, the average yield of the crop is obtained as a simple arithmetic mean of plot yields (net) within a stratum.

Let

 Y_{hij} = The plot yield (net) in gms/plot of the jth plot in the ith village in the hth stratum

 ${\rm n}_{\rm hi}{\rm =}$ Number of experiments conducted in the ith village of ${\rm ~hthstratum}$

 m_{h} = Number of villages in which experiments are conducted in the hthstratum

 ${\rm n_{h}}{\rm =}$ Number of experiments conducted in the hthstratum

L = Number of strata in a district

 a_h = The area (net) under the crop in the hthstratum

d = The driage ratio

f = The conversion factor for converting the green yield per plot into the yield of dry marketable produce per hectare

The average green yield for the \mathbf{h}^{th} stratum is obtained as

$$\overline{y}_{h} = \frac{1}{n_{h}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} y_{hij}$$

and the district level average yield of the dry marketable produce per hectare is given by

$$\hat{\overline{Y}} = \mathbf{d.f.} \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{L} a_h \overline{y}_h}{\sum_{h=1}^{L} a_h}$$

The sampling variance of $\ \hat{\overline{Y}}\ is obtained as$

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\overline{Y}}) = \frac{d^2 \cdot f^2 \left[W \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{a_h^2}{n_h} + (B - W) \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{a_h^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m_h} n_{hi}^2}{\lambda_h n_h^2} \right]}{\left[\sum_{h=1}^{L} a_h \right]^2}$$

where

$$\lambda_{h} = \frac{n_{h}^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} n_{hi}^{2}}{n_{h} - (m_{h} - 1)},$$

$$B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} y_{hij}\right)^{2}}{n_{hi}} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} y_{hij}\right)^{2}}{n_{h}}}{\sum_{h=1}^{L} (m_{h} - 1)}$$

the mean square between villages and

$$W = \frac{\sum_{h=1}^{L} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m_h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} y_{hij}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m_h} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{hi}} y_{hij}\right)^2}{n_{hi}} \right]}{\sum_{h=1}^{L} (n_h - m_h)}$$

the mean square within villages

The percentage standard error of $\hat{\overline{Y}}$ is given by

% S.E.
$$(\hat{\overline{Y}}) = \frac{\sqrt{\hat{V}(\hat{\overline{Y}})}}{(\hat{\overline{Y}})} \times 100$$

3. Sampling design used for selection of sample

Stratified multistage random sampling design is generally adopted for carrying out General Crop Estimation Survey (GCES) with taluks/revenue inspector circles as strata, revenue villages within a stratum as a first stage unit of sampling, survey number/field within each selected village as a sampling unit at the second stage and experimental plot of a specified shape and size as the ultimate unit of sampling.

Sampling design for GCES

Strata – Taluk

 \mathbf{V}

First stage sampling unit – Revenue Village

\downarrow

Second stage sampling unit – Survey Number/Field

Ultimate unit of sampling – Experimental Plot

(Specified shape and size)

Total numbers of experiments at district level are finalized as per following norms: (i) 130 to 150 experiments are planned at district level (65 to 75 villages having two experiments per village) if area under experimental crop in a district is above 80,000 hectares.

(ii) 100 to 120 experiments are planned at district level (50 to 60 villages having 2 experiments per village) if area under experimental crop in a district is between 20,000 to 80,000 hectares.

(iii) 40 to 80 experiments are planned at district level (20 to 40 villages having two experiments per village) if area under experimental crop in a district is below 20,000 hectares.

The number of villages allotted to a district is distributed among the strata, within a district, roughly in proportion to the area under the crop in stratum. Generally, Crop insurance scheme is implemented at taluk/circle level in India. To determine compensation to be paid to the farmer in the event of crop loss, average yields are calculated on 18/12 crop cutting experiments at taluk/circle level respectively. The number of villages is increased up to 9/6, if the distributed villages to the strata within a district are less than 9/6 at taluk/circle level respectively. Hence, due to implementation of crop insurance scheme, number of crop-cutting experiments planned at district level increased up to 700.

In each taluk/circle, in Maharashtra, a number of villages are selected every year for crop cutting experiments as per above norms at the time of district level-training programs conducted every year in Kharif, Rabi and Summer season. The list containing the names of the selected villages are made available by State Statistical Authority, Department of Agriculture, Maharashtra State, Pune to the concerned officers.

In each selected village, two fields growing the particular crop are selected according to the random sampling method. For the above purpose, two survey numbers are first selected out of all survey

PROCEEDINGS ICAS VII Seventh International Conference on Agricultural Statistics | Rome 24-26 October 2016

329

B07

BN7

numbers for experimental crop in the village according to the said method.

In each selected survey number, where there are more than one field sown with the crop, one such field nearest to the South-West corner of the selected survey number should be selected. In each field thus selected, one plot of specified size is to be located at random.

4. Proposed procedure of estimation of crop yield of cotton

A detailed examination of the data pertaining to five districts of Andhra Pradesh (A.P.) and five districts of Maharashtra showed that the yield of cotton at different pickings were highly correlated with the total yield. Hence, it may not be statistically efficient to collect data in respect of all the pickings from the same sample of fields since the additional information gained would be only marginal. Therefore, it would be desirable to examine the possibility of using other sampling design such as double sampling which may not only be more efficient but also operationally more convenient resulting in more reliable data for estimation of crop yield of multiple picking crop like cotton.

District	Pick-1	Pick-2	Pick-3	Pick-4	Pick-5	Pick-6	Pick-7	Pick-8
Aurangabad	0.55	0.65	0.82	0.84	0.83	0.74	0.51	0.24
Jalgaon	0.44	0.73	0.82	0.86	0.83	0.70	0.49	-0.40
Jalna	0.65	0.77	0.86	0.86	0.77	0.73	0.60	0.77
Buldhana	0.66	0.69	0.82	0.81	0.72	0.61	0.44	0.30
Yavatmal	0.58	0.76	0.87	0.91	0.86	0.75	0.51	0.35

 Table 3.1 - Picking-wise correlation with total yield in Maharashtra for the year 2006-07

Table 3.2 - Picking-wise correlation with total	yield in Andhra Pradesh	[A.P.) for the	year 2005-06
---	-------------------------	-------	-----------	--------------

District	Pick-1	Pick-2	Pick-3	Pick-4	Pick-5	Pick-6	Pick-7
Adilabad	0.59	0.61	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.48	0.16
Guntur	0.30	0.60	0.86	0.81	0.71	0.50	0.30
Khammam	0.65	0.81	0.87	0.56	0.66	0.37	-0.24
Karimnagar	0.65	0.80	0.80	0.45	0.43	0.41	-
Warangal	0.70	0.81	0.81	0.23	0.27	0.26	0.20

Results of both the tables reveal that 3rd and 2nd pickings in A.P. and 4th, 3rd, 5th and 6th pickings in Maharashtra have high correlation with total yield. It is, therefore, desirable to use the yield of picking having highest correlation with total yield as auxiliary variable. Thus, there is an opportunity to use double sampling approach in this situation.

Estimation procedure using double sampling approach under stratified two stage samplingdesign framework The estimation procedure for estimation of average yield of cotton using double sampling approach under stratified two stage sampling design framework is as under:

Let

L = number of strata (mandals/taluks) in a district

N_h = total no. of fsu's (villages) in h-th stratum (h=1,2,...,L)

 $n_{h}^{''}$ = no. of fsu's selected randomly in h-th stratum for observing yield for the p-th picking (p=1,2,...,P)

 $n_h = size of sub-sample selected randomly in h-th stratum for observing yield for the remaining pickings m' = no. of ssu's (fields) selected for observing yield for the p-th picking in i-th village of h-th stratum (i=1,2,..., nh')$

m = size of sub-sample for observing yield for remaining pickings of these m' ssu's

y_{hij}(p) = yield of cotton in j-th field of i-th village (j=1,2,..., m') in h-th stratum corresponding to pth picking.

An estimator of average yield corresponding to p-th picking, $\overline{y}_{nm}(p)$ for a district is given by

$$\overline{y}_{nm}(p) = \frac{1}{n_0 m} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{hij}(p) \quad \text{where } \mathbf{n} \mathbf{0} = \sum_{h=1}^{L} n_h$$

An estimator of average yield, \overline{y}_{nm} for a district is given by

$$\overline{y}_{nm} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \overline{y}_{nm}(p)$$

This is a biased estimate of the population average discussed by Panse *et al.* (1966). However, investigations by Sukhatme and Panse (1951) into the magnitude of the bias from the data collected in district crop-cutting surveys has shown that the bias is negligible. A double sampling regression estimator of average yield of cotton under the proposed framework can be written as

$$\overline{y}_{ld}(2) = \overline{y}_{nm} + \beta [\overline{y}_{n'm'}(p) - \overline{y}_{nm}(p)]$$

where
$$\overline{y}_{n'm'}(p) = \frac{1}{n'_{0}m'} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{m'} y_{hij}(p), \quad n'_{0} = \sum_{h=1}^{L} n'_{h}$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{(\frac{1}{n_{0}} - \frac{1}{n'_{0}})s_{by}(p)y + \frac{1}{n'_{0}}(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m'})s_{wy}(p)y}{(\frac{1}{n_{0}} - \frac{1}{n'_{0}})s_{by}^{2}(p) + \frac{1}{n'_{0}}(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m'})s_{wy}^{2}(p)}$$

where

$$s_{by(p)y} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{1}{(n_h - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} (\overline{y}_{hi.(p)} - \overline{y}_{h.(p)}) (\overline{y}_{hi.} - \overline{y}_{h..})$$

$$S_{wy(p)y} = \frac{1}{n_o(m-1)} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (y_{hij(p)} - \overline{y}_{hi.(p)})(y_{hij} - \overline{y}_{hi.})$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{hi.}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{y}_{hij}(\mathbf{p}) \qquad \qquad \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{h..}(p) = \frac{1}{n_h} \sum_{i=1}^{n_h} \overline{\mathbf{y}}_{hi..}(p),$$
where

$$s_{by(p)}^{2} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{1}{(n_{h} - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} (\bar{y}_{hi.}(p) - \bar{y}_{h..}(p))^{2}$$

An estimator of $MSE(\overline{y}_{1d}(2))$ is given by

 $\hat{MSE}(\overline{y}_{ld}(2)) = \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n_0} - \frac{1}{N_0} \right) s_{by}^2 + \frac{1}{N_0 m} s_{wy}^2 \right\} (1 - r^{*2}) + r^{*2} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n_0'} - \frac{1}{N_0} \right) s_{by}^2 + \left[\frac{1}{N_0 m} - \frac{1}{n_0'} \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m'} \right) s_{wy}^2 \right] \right\}$ where $N_0 = L\overline{N}$, and \overline{N} is the harmonic mean of N_{hs} . This is the usual estimator in sub-sampling design.

$$s_{by}^{2} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \frac{1}{(n_{h} - 1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} (\overline{y}_{hi.} - \overline{y}_{h..})^{2}$$

$$s_{wy}^{2} = \frac{1}{n_{0}(m - 1)} \sum_{h=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (y_{hij} - \overline{y}_{hi.})^{2}$$

$$*^{2} \qquad q_{wyw}^{2}$$

 $r^{**} = \frac{1}{q_{y(p)y(p)}q_{yy}}$

with

$$q_{y(p)y} = (\frac{1}{n_0} - \frac{1}{n_0'})s_{by(p)y} + \frac{1}{n_0}(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m'})s_{wy(p)y}$$

$$q_{y(p)y(p)} = (\frac{1}{n_0} - \frac{1}{n'_0})s_{by(p)}^2 + \frac{1}{n_0}(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m'})s_{yy(p)}^2$$

$$q_{yy} = (\frac{1}{n_0} - \frac{1}{n'_0})s_{by}^2 + \frac{1}{n_0}(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m'})s_{wy}^2$$

Validation of proposed methodology for estimation of average yield of cotton

For the validation of proposed methodology, surveys were planned for data collection in Amravati and Aurangabad districts of Maharashtra State while in Andhra Pradesh (A.P.) State planning was done in Adilabad and Guntur districts. In Maharashtra State, two additional fields were selected in 114 villages selected for CCE in Aurangabad district and 118 villages selected for CCE in Amravati district while in A.P., two additional fields were selected in 77 villages selected for CCE in Warangal district and 75 villages selected for CCE in Guntur district.

In addition to CCE data for the third picking from two additional fields from the selected CCE village of the selected districts of both the states, the regular CCE data of selected districts of both the states was also required for validation of the proposed double sampling procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework.

The estimate of average yield of cotton along with % S.E. for the year 2012-13was obtained using proposed double sampling approach under stratified two stage sampling design framework. In order to compare the results, the estimate along with % S.E. was also obtained using existing GCES procedure.

For implementation purpose the selected CCE villages in the district have been treated as preliminary sample villages (n') for the third picking yield and a sub-sample of 40% and 30% CCE villages (n) were selected by SRSWOR from the preliminary sample villages for the remaining pickings and out of the four fields (m') for the third picking, in each of these sub-sample villages, two fields (m) were selected for observing yield pertaining to the remaining pickings from CCE plot. The estimate of average yield of cotton along with % S.E. was obtained for both the districts of Maharashtra State and both the districts of A.P. State. The results of the district wise analysis are presented in the Tables 4.1 to 4.4.

Table 4.1 - Estimates of average yield of cotton (Kg/ha) along with % S.E. using different approaches for Aurangabad district of Maharashtra State for the year 2012-13

	Existing proce	dure						
No. of sampled villages	Average yield (Kg/ha)	% S.E.	Total no. of pickings involved					
Total GCES villages (83)	202.027	5.49	1328					
Double sampling regression	Double sampling regression procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework							
n'=83 (for one picking) n=33 (40% of n') (for remaining pickings)	189.711	5.32	794					
n'=83 (for one picking) n=26 (30% of n') (for remaining pickings)	188.966	6.39	696					

A close perusal of the above table reveal that under the Double sampling regression procedure involving stratified two stage sampling design framework, the estimates of average yield of cotton was obtained with less than 3% standard error for Aurangabad district which is fairly reliable.

The estimate is almost at par with the estimate obtained using existing procedure. Further, as the sample size decreases i.e. from 40% to 30%, percentage standard error increases for both the proposed procedures. The alternative procedure is operationally more convenient than the existing GCES procedure and is expected to reduce the workload of the field staff significantly which in turn will lead to good quality CCE data from these limited number of plots.

It may be seen from the above table that in case of the proposed alternative procedure the estimate of average yield of cotton is obtained with less than 3% standard error for Amravati district which is fairly reliable. Further, as the sample size decreases i.e. from 40% to 30%, percentage standard error increases.

The analysis of data in respect of Guntur district reveals a similar trend as earlier i.e. the proposed estimator scores over the estimator currently used in terms of the criterion of percentage standard error. It may be observed from the Table that the estimate of average yield of cotton in case of existing GCES procedure is obtained based on data on 65 villages. But double sampling regression procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework requires CCE villages data for all the mandals in the district. In most of the mandals only two villages data was available and at least two villages data is necessary for the analysis. Hence, there was not much scope for sub-sampling and accordingly, the 40% and 30% sub-sampling of villages could not be done. The results are presented based on the maximum possible reduced number of villages i.e. 52 villages. The improved performance of double sampling approach based estimator is evident.

Table 4.2 - Estimates of average yield of cotton (Kg/ha) along with % S.E. using different approaches for Amravati district of Maharashtra State for the year 2012-13

	Existing procedure								
No. of sampled villages	Average yield (Kg/ha)	% S.E.	Total no. of pickings involved						
Total GCES villages (111)	637.055	2.81	1776						
Double sampling regression procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework									
n'=111 (for one picking)	529.168	2.85	1046						
n=43 (40% of n')									
(for remaining pickings)									
n'=111 (for one picking)	511.038	2.92	920						
n=34 (30% of n')									
(for remaining pickings)									

Table 4.3 - Estimates of average yield of cotton (Kg/ha) along with % S.E. using different approaches for Guntur district of A.P. State for the year 2012-13

Existing procedure								
No. of sampled villages								
Total GCES villages (65)	629.399	3.95	910					
Double sampling regressio	n procedure ur frame	nder stratifie work	ed two stage sam	pling design				
n'=65 (for one picking)	608.882	3.61	884					
n=52 (for remaining pickings)								

Table 4.4 - Estimates of average yield of cotton (Kg/ha) along with % S.E. using different approaches for Warangal district of A.P. State for the year 2012-13

Existing procedure								
No. of sampled villages), of sampled villages Average % S.E. Total no. of yield (Kg/ha) involved							
Total GCES villages (59)	371.034	1.63	708					
Double sampling regression procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework								
n'=59 (for one picking) 371.891 5.69 716 n=48 (for remaining pickings)								
Double sampling Regression procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework								
n'=59 (for one picking) 345.751 5.15 598 n=48 (for remaining pickings)								

The results of analysis of data in Warrangal district are bit different than earlier i.e. although the percentage standard errors are within permissible limits, the existing estimator scores over the proposed estimator in terms of the criterion of percentage standard error of the estimator. This highlights the need to compare the proposed estimator over the existing estimator using optimum sample sizes determined by minimizing expected cost for a fixed value of percentage standard error of the estimator.

Estimation of sample size (no. of villages to be selected in a district for the survey)

The guiding principle in the determination of optimum sample size is either to fix variance of the estimator and minimize cost or to fix cost and minimize variance of the estimator. We, in this paper, aimed at minimizing the cost by fixing the variance of the estimator. The optimum number of villages to be sampled in a district was determined by fixing the percentage standard to 5 and 7.

In order to estimate sample size in case of double sampling regression procedure, a simple cost function given by

 $C = c^{n_0} m + c'^{n'_0} m'$

was considered, where

- c = costs involved in conducting crop cutting experiment for all the pickings of a selected plot (e.g. travel, equipment, harvesting and drying, stationery) and
- c' = costs involved in conducting crop cutting experiment for one picking (3rd picking) of a selected plot (e.g. travel, equipment, harvesting and drying, stationery)

= {1/(Total no. of pickings)} x c

By minimizing the cost subject to a fixed variance V_0 , the optimum values of n_0 were obtained from the following relations

$$\frac{n_0}{n_0} = \sqrt{\frac{c'(1 - r^{*2})}{cr^{*2}}} = 6$$

and

$$n_{0} = \left\{ (1 - r^{*2}) + \theta r^{*2} \right\} \left(s_{by}^{2} + \frac{1}{m} s_{wy}^{2} \right) / \left[\hat{V}_{0} + \frac{s_{by}^{2}}{N_{0}} \right]$$

The proposed regression estimator, involving auxiliary information, was accordingly compared with the estimator which does not make use of auxiliary information on the basis of optimum sample sizes determined by minimizing the cost function subject to a fixed value of the percentage standard error (the optimum sample sizes were determined by fixing the percentage standard error to 5 and 7).

The estimated sample size and desired percentage standard error of the district level estimates of average yield of cotton for Maharashtra and A.P. states are presented in the Table.

Table 5.1 - Sample size (no. of villages per district) for district level estimates of average yield of cotton for 5%
standard error	

State	Districts	Calculate d S.E. (%)	No. of villag es (n)	No. of prel. sample villages (n')	Desire d S.E. (%)	Estimate d sample size (n ₀)	c'/c	r	Estimat ed sample size (n'_0)
Double s	sampling regr	ession proc	edure une	der stratifi	ed two st	age samplin	g desig	n framew	ork
Maharashtra	Aurangaba d	6.39	26	83	5.00	48	0.12 5	0.710 9	137
	Amravati	2.92	34	111		11	0.12 5	0.786 7	30
Andhra Pradesh	Guntur	3.61	52	65		34	0.14 3	0.768 1	102
	Warangal	5.69	48	59		45	0.16 7	0.799 2	127
Recommended optimum sample size i.e maximum of estimated sample size									137

The optimum sample size is maximum of the estimated sample size used for estimation of average yield of cotton in both the States.

A close perusal of Table 5.1 reveal that the district level average yield of cotton can be estimated with less than or equal to 5% standard error, if 137 preliminary sample villages are selected for third picking and 48 sub-sample villages are selected for the remaining pickings from each selected district. Therefore, the recommended sample size i.e. no. of preliminary sample villages and sub-sample villages to be selected from a district are 137 (one hundred thirty seven) and 48 (forty eight) respectively. Further, the percentage standard error of the double sampling regression estimator is directly related to "r" i.e. greater the "r" value smaller the percentage standard error of the estimator.

State	Districts	Calculate d S.E. (%)	No. of villag es (n)	No. of prel. sample villages (n')	Desire d S.E. (%)	Estimate d sample size (n ₀)	c'/c	r	Estimat ed sample size (n'_0)
Double	sampling reg	ression proc	edure un	der stratifi	ed two st	age samplin	g desig	n framew	/ork
Maharashtra	Aurangaba d	6.39	26	83	7.00	25	0.12 5	0.710 9	71
	Amravati	2.92	34	111		6	0.12 5	0.786 7	17
Andhra Pradesh	Guntur	3.61	52	65		18	0.14 3	0.768 1	55
	Warangal	5.69	48	59		25	0.16 7	0.799 2	70

Table 5.2 - Sample size (no. of villages per district) for district level estimates of average yield of cotton for 7% standard error

B07

The results of analysis of Table 5.2 reveals that at district level, the average yield of cotton can be estimated with less than or equal to 7% standard error, if 71 preliminary sample villages are selected for third picking and 25 sub-sample villages are selected for the remaining pickings from each selected district. Therefore, the recommended sample size i.e. no. of preliminary sample villages and sub-sample villages to be selected from a district are 71 (eighty one) and 25 (twenty five) respectively with minimum two sub-sample villages per mandal/taluka of the district as per requirement of the proposed alternative sampling methodology. As earlier, greater the r value, smaller the percentage standard error of the estimator.

The optimum values of sample size in the context of simple linear estimator (existing estimator) are given below

Table 5.3 - Sample size (no. of villages per district) for district level simple estimates (GCES estimates) of average yield of cotton for 5% standard error

State	Districts	Calculated S.E. (%)	No. of villages (n)	Desired S.E. (%)	Estimated sample size (n ₀)
Maharashtra	Aurangabad	5.49	83	5.00	100
	Amravati	2.81	111		35
Andhra Pradesh	Guntur	3.95	65		41
	Warangal	1.63	59		6
Recommended	100				

Table 5.4 - Sample size (no. of villages per	district) for	district level	simple	estimates	(GCES	estimates)	of
averageyield of cotton for 7% standard error							

State	Districts	Calculated	No. of	Desired	Estimated
		S.E. (%)	villages (n)	S.E. (%)	sample size
					(n ₀)
Maharashtra	Aurangabad	5.49	83	7.00	51
	Amravati	2.81	111		18
Andhra	Guntur	3.95	65		21
Pradesh					
	Warangal	1.63	59		3
Recommended	51				

Using the optimum values of sample sizes the percentage reduction in cost by using the double sampling regression estimator over an estimator which does not use auxiliary information are given in Table 5.5 and 5.6 by fixing the percentage standard error values to 5 and 7 percent respectively. Keeping in view the Indian scenario i.e. the manpower available, costs etc., the values of m and m' are fixed at 2 and 4 respectively.

Table 5.5 - Percentage reduction in cost using different approaches based on optimum sample size of villages required per district for obtaining district level estimates of average yield of cotton for Maharashtra and A.P. states for 5% standard error

Existing GCES procedure					
Optimum number of sample villages required per district		Average number of pickings based on four districts	Total number of pickings involved	Percentage reduction in cost	
10	00	7	1400		
Double sampling	g regression procedure fra	under stratified tv nework	wo stage samplii	ng design	
Optimum no. of preliminary sampleOptimum no. of sub- sample villages required per districtoptimum no. of sub- sample villages required per district		Average number of pickings based on four districts	Total number of pickings involved	Percentage reduction in cost	
137 48		7	1124	19.71	

Table 5.6 - Percentage reduction in cost using different approaches based on optimum sample size of villages required per district for obtaining district level estimates of average yield of cotton for Maharashtra and A.P. states for 7% standard error

Existing GCES procedure					
Optimum number of sample villages required per district		Average number of pickings based on four districts	Total number of pickings involved	Percentage reduction in cost	
5	1	7	714		
Double sampling	g regression procedure fra	e under stratified tv mework	wo stage samplii	ng design	
Optimum no. of preliminary sample villages required per district	Optimum no. of sub- sample villages required per district	Average number of pickings based on four districts	Total number of pickings involved	Percentage reduction in cost	
71	25	7	584	18.21	

The results of analysis of Table 5.5 and 5.6 reveal that there is considerable reduction in cost using the double sampling procedure as compared to an estimator which does not make use of information on auxiliary variable. More and less the entire cotton area in the country has now been covered under Bt.

Cotton that requires only 2-3 pickings for the Crop Cutting Experiments. Therefore, in order to determine which picking should be used as an auxiliary variable, the CCE data of cotton pertaining to two major cotton growing districts namely,

Mansa and Muktsar Sahib of Punjab State and two districts namely, Hisar and Sirsa of Haryana State for the year 2012-13 was acquired. The data from both the states was available for four (4) pickings only. Picking-wise correlation with the total yield for the CCE data for all the four selected districts, two each Punjab and Haryana states, was obtained and are presented in the Tables 5.7 to 5.8.

Table 5.7 - Picking-wise correlation with total yield for plots in the selected districts of Punjab Statefor Desi and American Cotton (Combined) for the year 2012-13

State	Districts	Pick-1	Pick-2	Pick-3	Pick-4
Punjab					
	Mansa	0.652751	0.753949	0.80976	0.142816
	Muktsar Sahib	0.769401	0.731496	0.79637	0.364979

Table 5.8 - Picking-wise correlation with total yield for plots in the selected districts of Haryana Statefor the year 2012-13

State	Districts	Pick-1	Pick-2	Pick-3	Pick-4
Haryana	Hisar	0.467793	0.821971	0.651065	0.305694
	Sirsa	0.668537	0.679208	0.350899	0.004528

It can be inferred from the above two tables that 3rd picking has the highest correlation with total yield in both the districts of Punjab State while in Haryana State, 2nd picking has the highest correlation with total yield in both the districts. Thus, **3rd picking data is recommended for use as auxiliary variablein Punjab State while 2nd picking data is recommended for use in Haryana State** for estimation of average yield of cotton using double sampling regression estimator.

The CCE data of other cotton growing states was analysed to determine the picking having highest correlation with total yield. Accordingly, CCE data on cotton pertaining to two districts of each of the five states namely, Gujarat, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu for the year 2012-13 was acquired. Picking-wise correlation with the total yield for the irrigated and unirrigated plots (combined) was obtained and results are presented in the Table 5.9.

State	District	Pick-1	Pick-2	Pick-3	Pick-4	Pick-5	Pick-6	Pick-7	Pick-8
Gujarat	Patan	0.6444	0.8942	0.9081*	0.8938*	0.7601*	0.4618*	0.2088*	**
	Mehsana	0.3514	0.6915	0.7967*	0.7423*	0.4863*	0.3832*	0.0559*	
Karnatak	Yadgir	0.6498	0.8384	0.8020*	0.2960*	0.0706*	əlicəlic	alle alle	·제·제·
a	Haveri	0.6581	0.7973	0.8894*	0.8517*	0.4383	0.4931*	**	제에
Madhya	Khrgon	0.5849	0.8195	0.8398	0.8368	0.7248*	0.0473*	0.0467*	0.0529 *
Pradesh	Dhar	0.5735	0.7948	0.8144	0.7048	0.5895*	0.5577*	**	ગુંદગુંદ
Rajastha	Ganganagar	0.6532	0.8647	0.6417*	0.7873∗	3 <u>4</u> 6 3 <u>4</u> 6	3 <u>4</u> 4 3 <u>4</u> 4	3 <u>1</u> 6 3 <u>1</u> 6	3]C 3]C
п	Hanumanga	0.7626	0.6945	0.7225*	મુંદમુંદ	મંદમંદ	મુંદ મુંદ	મુંદ મુંદ	મુંદમુંદ
Tamil	Perambalur	0.4903	0.8081	0.8004	0.7257*	가다가다	ર્ગુદ ર્ગુદ	ગુંદર્ગુદ	ગુંદગુંદ
INACU	Salem	0.3781	0.6307	0.8003	0.8301	0.7828	0.5595*	0.3492*	0.2481

Table 5.9 - Picking-wise correlation with total yield for irrigated and unirrigated plots (combined) in the selected districts of five States for the year 2012-13

Note: *Insufficient data available

**Data not available

The analysis reveals that 2nd picking has the highest correlation with total yield in both the districts of Gujarat and Karnataka States while in Madhya Pradesh State, 3rd picking has the highest correlation with total yield in both the districts. Further, in Rajasthan State, 2nd picking has the highest correlation with total yield in Ganganagar district and 1st picking has the highest correlation with total yield in Hanumangarh district followed by 2nd picking. Since the correlation coefficient for 2nd picking is significant in Hanumangarh district, therefore, 2nd picking is recommended to be used as auxiliary variablein Rajasthan State for the sake of uniformity.

Similarly, in Tamil Nadu State, the correlation coefficient for 3rd picking is significant. **Therefore, 3rd picking should be treated as auxiliary variablein Tamil Nadu State**. The recommended picking, to be taken as auxiliary variable, in the nine states is given in Table 5.10.

Table 5.10 - Recommended picking to be used as auxiliary variable for analysis of cotton data usingdevelop	ed
methodology in nine major cotton growing states of India	

S. No.	State	Picking to be used as auxiliary variable
1	Andhra Pradesh	3 rd Picking
2	Gujarat	2 nd Picking
3	Haryana	2 nd Picking
4	Karnataka	2 nd Picking
5	Madhya Pradesh	3 rd Picking
6	Maharashtra	3 rd Picking
7	Punjab	3 rd Picking
8	Rajasthan	2 nd Picking
9	Tamil Nadu	3 rd Picking

Conclusions

The present study has revealed very encouraging results as shown from the results of analysis and demonstrated the feasibility of estimating cotton production with limited number of pickings using the double sampling approach based regression estimator. In view of the above, it is recommended that the alternative sampling methodology using double sampling regression procedure under stratified two stage sampling design framework may be adopted in all the cotton growing states of the country for estimation of average yield of cotton which will not only provide reliable estimate of average yield of cotton but will significantly reduce cost of the survey and will also be operationally more convenient than the GCES procedure. Further, the workload of the field staff will be significantly reduced which in turn will lead to good quality CCE data from limited number of plots.

References

Agricultural Research Data Book (2009, 2011, 2012). IASRI publication.

Ahmad, T., Bathla, H.V.L., Rai, A., Sahoo, P.M., Gupta, A.K., Jain, V.K. and Mhadgut, D.V. (2009). Study to investigate the causes of variation between official and trade estimates of Cotton production. Project report, IASRI publication.

Indian Cotton, A Profile (2010, 2011, 2012). The Cotton Corporation of India Ltd. publication.

Kajale, J. (2006). Study to investigate the causes of variation between official and trade estimates of Cotton production in Maharashtra. AERC Report., Gokhale Institute of Politics and Economics, Pune.

Panse, V.G., Rajagopalan, M. and Pillai, S.S. (1966). Estimation of crop yields for smaller areas. Biometrics, 22(2), 374-384.

Raheja, S.K., Goel, B.B.P.S., Mehrotra, P.C. and Rustogi, V.S. (1977). Pilot sample survey for estimating yield of cotton in Hissar (Haryana). Project Report, IASRI, New Delhi.

Ryerson, R.A., Dobbins, R.N. and Thibault, C. (1985). Timely crop area estimates from Landsat. Photog. Engg. Rem. Sens., 51, 1735-1743.

Sukhatme, B.V. and Koshal, R.S. (1959). A contribution to double sampling. J. Ind. Soc. Agril. Statist., 11, 128-144.

Sukhatme, P.V. and Panse, V.G. (1951). Crop surveys in India II. J. Ind. Soc. Agril. Statist., 3, 97-168.

Watt, G. (1972). A Dictionary of the Economic Products of India. Cosmo Publication, New Delhi, 4, 45–47.